

Survey of Interest in FSILGs moving to Cambridge

| Moving to Cambridge | | | | Interested | Not interested at this time | Comments |
|---------------------|--------------------|-----------|--|------------|-----------------------------|--|
| Alpha Epsilon Pi | 155&165 Bay State | Boston | | X | | Very interested |
| Beta Theta Pi | 119&120 Bay State | Boston | | | | |
| Chi Phi | 32 Hereford St. | Boston | | X | | Only when we can move the Andrews Mansion to Cambridge |
| Delta Tau Delta | 416 Beacon St. | Boston | | X | | Keep us up to date on the proposal |
| Delta Upsilon | 526 Beacon St. | Boston | | | | |
| Lambda Chi Alpha | 99 Bay State Rd. | Boston | | X | | We have not ruled it out for the future. We need to discuss internally and know more of the circumstances. |
| Nu Delta | 460 Beacon St. | Boston | | | | |
| Phi Delta Theta | 97 Bay State Rd | Boston | | | | |
| Phi Kappa Sigma | 530 Beacon St. | Boston | | X | | They may change their minds. It is VERY circumstantial and dependent on the transition. |
| Phi Kappa Theta | 229 Commonwealth | Boston | | | | |
| Phi Sigma Kappa | 487 Commonwealth | Boston | | | | |
| Pi Lambda Phi | 450 Beacon St. | Boston | | X | | They are VERY interested in reviewing proposals. |
| Sigma Chi | 532 Beacon St. | Boston | | | | |
| Sigma Phi Epsilon | 515&518 Beacon St. | Boston | | X | | We may change our minds in the future. |
| Sigma Nu | 28 The Fenway | Boston | | X | | Some interest in moving. Please count us in and keep us posted. |
| Tau Epsilon Phi | 253 Commonwealth | Boston | | | | |
| Theta Chi | 528 Beacon St. | Boston | | | | |
| Theta Xi | 64&66 Bay State | Boston | | | | |
| Zeta Beta Tau | 58 Manchester Ave. | Brookline | | | | |
| Fenway House | 34 The Fenway | Boston | | | | |
| Student House | 111 Bay State Rd. | Boston | | | | |
| Epsilon Theta | 259 St. Paul St. | Brookline | | X | | They just renovated their house. They would like to be kept up to date on the proposal. |
| Alpha Chi Omega | 478 Commonwealth | Boston | | X | | They would consider it. |
| Alpha Phi | 479 Commonwealth | Boston | | | | |
| Kappa Alpha Theta | | | | | | |
| Sigma Kappa | 480 Commonwealth | Boston | | | | |

Draft Scenarios

Scenario 1 – "Village" Model

MIT would build new "townhouse" style units on MIT property, ideally in residential area of campus. The description of roles noted below is a draft version that merges the ideas expressed by the FSILG's as well as discussions with MIT Housing.

MIT roles and responsibilities:

- MIT owns the property and building.
- MIT finances and constructs the buildings, with some level of design input from the FSILG.
- MIT furnishes the buildings based on selections made by the FSILG.
- MIT provides on-going building maintenance and period upgrades for areas such as technology.
- MIT Housing maintains lodging license and conducts regular inspections to ensure that building codes and lodging license regulations are met.
- MIT Housing manages the building, including 24 hour on-call service, custodial services, supplies, etc.

FSILG roles and responsibilities:

- FSILG leases the building from MIT with medium term lease arrangement (i.e. 5-10 year terms).
- FSILG establishes its own rules and government for members and residents.
- FSILG recruits for members and is responsible for filling bed space.
- FSILG pays rent to MIT for all beds, whether or not they are filled.
- If FSILG membership falls below a certain threshold (i.e. 50% of beds), MIT has the right to either fill the space with non-FSILG students or end the lease to the FSILG before the start of an academic year.
- FSILG can decide whether or not to include a dining program and how it will be managed. Possible catering or purchasing support from MIT dining could be arranged.

Pros:

- Provides a housing option for FSILG's with no property equity.
- Ensures that the buildings are properly maintained and licensed.
- FSILG members can focus on community building and social benefits, instead of building maintenance or financial burden.
- Increases MIT undergraduate bed space capacity.
- Supports diversity of housing options for MIT students while maintaining a physical and financial equity between residence halls and FSILG's.

- Lease arrangement allows struggling FSILG's to be supported by MIT and allows MIT to take advantage of unused bed space.

Cons:

- FSILGs with property equity will be less likely to participate.
- MIT must commit land and financial resources to FSILGs for the long-term.

Scenario 2 – Repurpose Existing Campus Housing

MIT would decommission certain residence halls and renovate them for reuse by FSILG's. The roles and responsibilities would be similar to the Village model.

Scenario 3 – FSILG Housing on MIT Land

MIT would provide long-term lease to FSILG's for construction of houses. Financing would be provided by a combination of equity from FSILG current properties and MIT investment with FSILG's paying mortgage.