

Meeting of the AILG Accreditation Committee

7/18/17

Attending: Brad Badgley, Liz Jason, John Covert, Steve Carhart, Bob Ferrara, Kim Hunter, Herman Marshall

0- Actions for next year

Suzy Nelson & Judy Robinson may get so much e-mail that messages from John as Accreditation Coordinator may not be viewed. Mostly there are crisis situations that don't have the priority that is on-going. Perhaps only a summary is needed once or twice a year, such as what we provide the AILG Board at year end. Brad has a high level view of the FSILG system to present to MIT admin. Stress is the #1 issue for individuals in Accreditation. For now, John will send only fsilg-office; otherwise, we wait for requests for summary results.

1- Plan for 2017-18

Dates are set for next year's reviews. There aren't many groups next year. Notices will go out to the living groups in early August, with reminders in early September.

2- Annual report for 2016-17

We will post the final version of the 2015-16 report quietly. Draft 1 of the 2016-17 report is out. The procedural parts of the report shall be placed in a separate version-controlled document. John will send out the compendium of Best Practices and Areas needing Improvement by tomorrow. The committee members will examine and provide suggestions for wording to be included in the report. Volunteer numbers are done but funding information is incomplete but should now be available.

3- Changes to the BDF

We should start the process of developing ILG- and PanHel-specific BDFs. We began the process of deciding how to form subgroups to generate these. The subgroups should have at least two members of the affinity group, John, and a member of the Committee. The process could take most of the next academic year, starting with a high-level discussion within the Accreditation Committee about the top-level view of what Accreditation reviews should be like. This discussion should result in charters for the subgroups that actually develop the new BDFs. A way to kick off the formation of the subgroups might be as part of a retreat with AILG members that would tap into community interest in joining a subgroup and starting the actual work, which would require several

more meetings to complete.

4- Fate/use of the Rubric

One new step we can take is to present reviewers and the reviewed FSILGs with the current list of Best Practices and Areas Needing Improvement. The idea will be to start off all reviews with a discussion of any items on the list that might be of interest to the living group being reviewed.

5- Working with the Facilities Assessment Project

The results from this project may be used eventually as part of accreditation, perhaps starting in Fall 2018.

6- Schedule for meetings.

Upcoming meetings will be held on the first Thursday of each month at 4PM.